

2024 Real World Testing Results PointClickCare Technologies Inc.



#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Plan Report ID Number: [ONC-Authorized Certification Body use only]

Developer Name: PointClickCare Technologies, Inc.

Product Name(s): PointClickCare

Version Number(s): 4

Certified Health IT Product List (CHPL) Product Number(s): 15.04.04.2181.Poin.04.00.1.191231 Developer

Real World Testing Plan Page URL: https://pointclickcare.com/company/certifications/

## [OPTIONAL] CHANGES TO ORIGINAL PLAN

Summary of Change [Summarize each element that changed between the plan and actual execution of Real World Testing]	Reason [Describe the reason this change occurred]	Impact [Describe what impact this change had on the execution of your Real World Testing activities]
None	N/A	N/A



### [OPTIONAL] WITHDRAWN PRODUCTS

Product Name(s):	N/A
Version Number(s):	
CHPL Product Number(s):	
Date(s) Withdrawn:	
Inclusion of Data in Results	
Report:	
[Provide a statement as to whether any data was captured on the withdrawn products. If so, this data should be identified in the results report.]	

#### SUMMARY OF TESTING METHODS AND KEY FINDINGS

Below is a brief summary of the Real World Testing methods deployed to demonstrate real-world interoperability, including any challenges or lessons learned from the chosen approach. We summarize how the results that will be shared in this report demonstrate real-world interoperability.

If any non-conformities were discovered and reported to the ONC-ACB during testing, these are outlined and includes how they were addressed.

To demonstrate real-world interoperability, PointClickCare employed a metrics-driven approach focused on ePrescribing functionalities and the integration of diagnostic data in medication orders within LTPAC settings. The testing methods included:

#### **Metric-Based Evaluation**

- Metrics such as success percentages for NewRx, CancelRx, and RxFill ePrescribing events were used to assess the reliability of transaction transmissions to partner pharmacies.
- Frequency of diagnostic data inclusion in medication orders was analyzed to evaluate compliance with best practices.

#### **Standards-Based Validation**

- All transactions were validated against NCPDP schema to ensure adherence to industry standards.
- Log file reviews and database queries provided further verification of successful transmissions and data accuracy.



# STANDARDS UPDATES (INCLUDING STANDARDS VERSION ADVANCEMENT PROCESS (SVAP) AND UNITED STATES CORE DATA FOR INTEROPERABILITY (USCDI))

The required and voluntary standards updates must be addressed in the Real World Testing plan. Real World Testing plans include all certified health IT updated to newer versions of standards prior to August 31 of the year in which the updates were made.

31 of the year in which the updates were made.			
Indicate as to whether optional standards, via SVAP and/or USCDI, are leveraged as part of the certification of your health IT product(s).			
Yes, I have products certified with voluntary SVAP or USCDI standards. (If yes, please complete the table below.  [X] No, none of my products include these voluntary standards.			
Standard (and version)	N/A		
Updated certification criteria and associated product			
CHPL Product Number			
Conformance measure			
Care Setting(s)			
The type of clinical setting in which their certified health IT is marketed.			
List each care setting that was tested.			
Long Term Post-Acute Care (LTPAC)			

#### **Metrics and Outcomes**

Below we detail outcomes from the testing that successfully demonstrate that the certified health IT:

- 1. Is compliant with the certification criteria, including the required technical standards and vocabulary codes sets;
- 2. Is exchanging electronic health information (EHI) in the care and practice settings for which it is marketed for use; and/or,
- 3. EHI is received by and used in the certified health IT.

(from 85 FR 25766)



Within this section, we describe how the specific data collected from their Real World Testing measures demonstrate the results. Where possible, context is provided to the measures and results to understand the number of sites/users/transactions tested for the specified measures (i.e., the denominator for comparison to the reported results). If applicable, any Relied Upon Software that is used to meet a criterion's requirements has been included in this section.

Measurement /Metric	Associated	Relied Upon	Outcomes	Challenges
/wetric	Criterion(a)	Software		Encountered
		(if any)		(if applicable)
Create new prescriptions (NewRx) event success percentage	§ 170.315(b)(3) Electronic prescribing	Surescripts	majority of new prescriptions are processed correctly and transmitted to the pharmacy without issues. The time range of these results were from 1/1/2024 to 12/31/2024.	transmission of new prescriptions via Surescripts worked with high reliability, indicating that the systems in use are
Cancel prescriptions (NewRx) event success percentage	§ 170.315(b)(3) Electronic prescribing	Surescripts	This metric tracks the percentage of prescriptions that were successfully	None. The system demonstrated excellent reliability in canceling prescriptions, without issues during testing
Receive fill status notification (RxFill)event success percentage	§ 170.315(b)(3) Electronic prescribing	Surescripts	prescriptions that were successfully received and processed. With a success rate of 99.97%, it shows that the system is nearly flawless in handling RxFill notifications. The failure rate is extremely low at 0.03%, reflecting the robustness of the communication between healthcare providers and	of fill status notifications was near flawless, underscoring the effectiveness of the ePrescribing process in ensuring up-to- date information regarding medication fills.



Transmit and	§ 170.315(b)(3)	Surescripts	This metric tracks the percentage of	None. While the
consume	Electronic		medication orders that included	percentage of
diagnosis	prescribing		transmitted and consumed diagnosis	diagnosis
information in			information. The results show	information
events frequency	,		that 9.21% of medication orders	included in
			during this time period included	medication orders
			diagnosis information. While this is a	is relatively low, no
			relatively small percentage, it is	technical
			important to note that the system had	challenges were
			no technical challenges in	noted in the
			transmitting or consuming the	transmission or
			diagnosis information, suggesting	consumption of
			potential opportunities for increased	diagnosis data.
			adoption or integration of this	
			practice.	

#### **KEY MILESTONES**

A list of key milestones that were met during the Real World Testing process are included below. Key milestones are relevant and directly related to outcomes discussed.

Key Milestone	Care Setting	Date/Timeframe
Begin collection of information per RWT plan	LTPAC	January 1, 2024
Follow-up with organizations to understand any issues arising from reviewing statistics/data collection	LTPAC	Quarterly 2024
Data collection and review	LTPAC	Quarterly 2024
End of Real World Testing period/final collection of all data for analysis.	LTPAC	January 2025
Analysis and report creation	LTPAC	January 15, 2025
Submit Real World Testing report to Drummond	LTPAC	February 1, 2025

#### **ATTESTATION**

This Real World Testing Results Report is complete with all required elements, including measures that address all certification criteria and care settings. All information in this plan is up to date and fully addresses the health IT developer's Real World Testing requirements.

Authorized Representative Name: Robin Roberts

Authorized Representative Email: robin.roberts@pointclickcare.com

Authorized Representative Phone: 905-858-8885

Authorized Representative Signature: Robin Roberts

Date: 1/28/2025